Ехнівіт 10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
	X	
TODD DANNHAUSER, and		
TD CO., L.P.,		
	:	Case No. 16-CV-747(CM)
Plaintiffs,	•	
-against-	•	
TSG REPORTING, INC.,		
	:	
Defendant.		
	X	

PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES

I.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

These responses and objections are made without any waiver of:

- 1. The right to object to the competency, relevancy, or materiality of any Response or the subject matter of any Response;
- 2. All objections that may be available at any hearing or trial or on any motion to the use or admissibility of any material produced. The production of any document does not constitute an admission by Plaintiffs that such document or the information contained therein is relevant to this action or admissible in evidence. Plaintiffs do not waive or intend to waive but, rather, intend to preserve and hereby do preserve all rights to object on any ground to the use of any of these Responses and/or documents produced in this or any other case, action, matter or proceeding; and
- 3. The right to supplement, revise, correct, or clarify any of the Responses herein and to produce and utilize additional documents that may become available or come to Plaintiffs'

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Please identify each of the commissions that Plaintiffs claim were erroneously calculated or unpaid by TSG to TD Co. by listing the case, Engaging Person, firm, RARE, commission percentage, and amounts that TSG already provided to TD Co. with respect to such commissions, if any. If Plaintiffs are claiming damages for any commission prior to January 1, 2014, then the time period for this interrogatory begins with the date of the first commission that Plaintiffs contend was not paid or was erroneously calculated.

RESPONSE:

Plaintiffs incorporate the General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory because it purports to require Plaintiffs to disclose information outside of Plaintiffs' possession, custody or control and/or seeks information that is currently in Defendant's possession, custody, or control. Plaintiffs will provide a Response once Defendant produces the documents necessary to respond to this Interrogatory.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

For each commission as identified in Interrogatory No. 1 above, please list the case, Engaging Person, firm, RARE, commission percentage, and amounts of the commission that Plaintiffs contend should have been paid by TSG.

RESPONSE:

Plaintiffs incorporate the General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory because it purports to require Plaintiffs to disclose information outside of Plaintiffs' possession, custody or control and/or seeks information that is currently in Defendant's possession, custody, or control. Plaintiffs will provide a Response once Defendant produces the documents necessary to respond to this Interrogatory.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Please identify all persons with knowledge concerning the allegations in the Amended Complaint or the counterclaims asserted by TSG.

RESPONSE:

Plaintiffs incorporate the General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs reserve the right to supplement, revise, correct, or clarify this Response. Subject to and without waving any of the foregoing General Objections, Plaintiffs state that the following persons have knowledge concerning the allegations in the Amended Complaint: (1) Todd Dannhauser; (2) Michael Rixon; (3) counsel to Todd Dannhauser, including William A. Brewer, Austin C. Smith, and Michael L. Smith, whose contact information is 750 Lexington Ave, New York, NY, 10022, (212) 489-1400.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Please identify all persons with knowledge concerning the employment opportunities, partnerships, or ventures that Dannhauser explored and/or any interviews, meetings or communications regarding Dannhauser's potential employment subsequent to October 1, 2015, including but not limited to the identity of such potential employers, partnerships, or ventures.

RESPONSE:

Plaintiffs incorporate the General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory on the basis that it seeks information not calculated to elicit evidence relevant to the claims, defenses, or counterclaims in this action.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Please identify all persons with knowledge concerning the incident that occurred on September 24, 2015 involving Dannhauser, a TSG client, and Nick Garcia, and referred to in the Amended Complaint at paragraph 18.

RESPONSE:

Plaintiffs incorporate the General Objections as if fully set forth herein. Plaintiffs object to this Interrogatory because it purports to require Plaintiffs to disclose information outside of

New York, New York June 6, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

By:

William A. Brewer III

Austin C. Smith

BREWER, ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS

750 Lexington Ave., 14th Floor New York, New York 10022 Telephone: (212) 489-1400

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

VERIFICATION

I, Todd Dannhauser, am Plaintiff in this action. I have read the foregoing Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendant's First Set of Interrogatories and I am familiar with its content. Based on the documents and information in my possession, or my personal knowledge, I affirm that these answers are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing Plaintiffs' Responses to Defendants.

First Set of Interrogatories are true and correct.

Dated: June 6, 2016

62

Todd Dannhauser

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on June 6, 2016, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served by electronic mail and U.S. Postal Service upon the following counsel of record:

Edward L. Powers
Jesenia Ruiz de la Torre
Zukerman Gore Brandeis & Crossman, LLP
Eleven Times Square
New York, NY 10036

Austin C. Smith